ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Tetrahedron Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet



Sulfenylation chemistry using polymer-supported sulfides

David C. Forbes a,*, Sampada V. Bettigeri A, Nahla N. Al-Azzeh Brian P. Finnigan b, Joseph A. Kundukulam David C. Forbes A,*, Sampada V. Bettigeri A, Nahla N. Al-Azzeh B, Brian P. Finnigan B, Joseph A. Kundukulam B, Joseph A. Kundukulam B, Joseph A. Kundukulam B, Joseph A, Kundukulam B, Joseph B

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 20 August 2008
Revised 3 February 2009
Accepted 4 February 2009
Available online 8 February 2009

Keywords: Polymer-supported synthesis Sulfenylation

ABSTRACT

Clean sulfenylations are observed upon reaction of activated methylenes with phenyl succinimidyl sulfide. When working with diethyl benzylmalonate, the sulfenylated product can be selectively oxidized and thermally fragmented affording phenylsulfenic acid, initially, and diethyl benzylidenemalonate. The developed method was applied using a polymer-supported thioanisole derivative (JandaJel). Formation of the enedicarboxylate documents proof of principle of polymer-supported sulfides as sulfenylating agents onto activated methylenes.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Since Groebel's seminal work almost 50 years ago (Scheme 1),¹ there have been numerous reports on the preparation and use of *N*-(organothio)succinimide derivatives.^{2,3} These activated organosulfides are most commonly used as sulfenylating reagents. While not all *N*-(organothio)succinimide derivatives are prepared via a demethylation process as first reported by Groebel, subsequent reports do present strategies based upon convenience, such as the avoidance of sulfenyl chloride, or use of readily accessible starting materials.

Sulfenylations using either *N*-(organothio)succinimide or *N*-(organothio)phthalimide derivatives with a host of nucleophiles (neutral, anionic, and heteroatomic) have been shown to proceed with high efficiency.³ The most common class of nucleophiles employed are activated methylenes. When considering cost, stability of the activated organosulfide, and the avoidance of toxic materials, the use of an *S*-imido derivative is the reagent of choice when performing sulfenylation chemistry.

The novelty of this process is how the sulfur atom can serve the role of both nucleophile and electrophile. Upon formation of phenyl succinimidyl sulfide using a demethylation process, the sulfur atom of thioanisole serves the role of nucleophile upon bromination with NBS. This role is then reversed upon expulsion of the bromide with succinimide. Similar strategies have been used toward the preparation of not only *S*-imidosulfides but also *S*-thio-, *S*-seleno-, *S*-stannyl-, and *S*-halosulfides. As activated organosulfides, the relatively weak *S*-linkage is then exploited upon reaction with a wide spectrum of nucleophiles.

The use of organosulfides has been a very attractive strategy in assembly of both complex and simple organic molecules. The ma-

The advantages of developing such technologies are clear. The materials needed for this process are cheap, readily available, and easy to work with even when considering on scale. The strategy utilizing polymer-supported technologies (polymer-supported organic synthesis (PSOS)) is evident given the numerous benefits associated with a process involving solid-phase chemistry. While we have made great progress with S-methylene transfers onto a host of carbonyl derivatives, limitations do exist. One significant advancement in the development of S-ylide chemistries would be the incorporation of polymer-supported technologies in the assembly of α -thiocarboxylates.

Prior to exploring the use of solid-phase chemistry (polymersupported sulfide), we embarked upon a solution-phase study (Scheme 2).

Using as our baseline the demethylation chemistry first reported by Groebel, we were successful in improving the isolated yield of organosulfide 1 from 69% to 98%. After examining solvent

Scheme 1.

^a Department of Chemistry, University of South Alabama, Mobile, Al. 36688, USA

^b Murphy High School, 100 S. Carlen St., Mobile, AL 36606, USA

jor synthetic use is in the preparation of α -thiocarboxylates. Since our research centers on the use of α -thiocarboxylates in S-ylide aziridination and epoxidation reactions, the recent commercial availability of polymer-supported thioanisole derivatives caught our attention, and reported herein are our findings on the proof of concept of polymer-supported sulfides as sulfenylating agents onto activated methylenes.

^{*} Corresponding author. Fax: +1 251 460 7359. E-mail address: dforbes@jaguar1.usouthal.edu (D.C. Forbes).

Scheme 2.

systems, stoichiometry, and temperature, we found that upon reaction of a slight excess of NBS with thioanisole in dichloromethane at room temperature, we were successful in obtaining the desired product in excellent yield when allowed to react over a period of 24 h. While higher reaction temperatures (>50 °C) did result in shorter reaction times based upon the consumption of thioanisole, the higher reaction temperatures did result in decomposition of organosulfide 1. Furthermore, using either excess quantities of NBS or alternative dipolar aprotic solvents did not result in significant improvements when considering reaction time.

Diethylbenzylmalonate was one of several activated methylenes we surveyed. When working with diethylmalonate, bis(sulfenylation), not surprising, using phenyl succinimidyl sulfide was observed. Surprising, however, was bis(sulfenylation) of diethylmalonate using just 0.5 equiv of organosulfide 1. For this example, we confirmed the challenges associated with monosulfenylations with systems bearing two acidic protons. Observed with this example was bis(sulfenylation) in 44% conversion using phenyl succinimidyl sulfide and diethylmalonate. This, however, does not detract from the chemistry at hand. Even with the predisposition of double sulfenylation, reaction of sodium ethanethiolate with the bissulfenylated product has been shown to cleanly reduce the material to the corresponding monosulfenylated derivative.

With our model system, the desired sulfenylated product (2) was obtained in excellent yield starting from the diethylbenzylmalonate and organosulfide 1.⁷ For this transformation, the order of addition and allowing for formation of the potassium salt prior to addition of the activated organosulfide was key.

With a series of organosulfides in hand, we next explored the aspect of cleaving the C–S bond. The development of a fragmentation process was needed in that once a solution-phase method was established; we were to go blind using identical reaction procedures with not thioanisole but a polymer-supported thioanisole derivative. Scheme 3 presents the key steps of our approach. While a β -hydrogen is needed for a β -elimination, selective cleavage of the C–S bond using an oxidant which preferentially reacts with the sulfur atom makes this an attractive method. Even though this strategy results in the formation of an alkene upon β -elimination, it does avoid the use of less than desirable reagents such as

n-Bu₃SnH/AIBN or Na/NH₃, which can as well be used to perform cleavage of the C–S bond directly.

Sulfide **2** was oxidized using *m*CPBA, and resulted in formation of sulfoxide 3 in 98% isolated yield. While high boiling solvents are most commonly used to perform fragmentation processes involving β -eliminations, θ we found this process with our system (sulfoxide 3) to be sluggish. Using toluene as solvent, the percent conversion of 3 to 4 never exceeded 50%. This was observed while monitoring the reaction at three separate intervals (3 h, 12 h, and 48 h). Odd was that the mass balance of the reaction mixture exceeded 90% on the 1.0 mmol scale and when monitoring the reaction, no evidence of any secondary processes was observed. One known process being polymerization of enedicarboxylate 4.10 While disappointed with the overall levels of conversion, the reaction conditions are unoptimized. As stated above, while we did not observe any secondary processes, we cannot dismiss the fact that as the reaction proceeds, the buildup of phenylsulfenic acid (PhSOH) may play an important role in the overall outcome of this process. While secondary when considering the proof of principle of sulfenylation chemistry using polymer-supported materials, efforts which address the failure of this reaction to complete are underway.

Using solution-phase chemistry, we developed a method of sulfenylation using activated organosulfides and cleavage upon thermolysis of sulfoxide **3**. For the polymer-supported chemistry, we explored the use of commercially available thioanisole functionalized JandaJel **5** (Fig. 1). Aside from the commercial availability of this material, we were excited about the potential of using this material in particular given its homogeneous properties when compared to traditional solid-phase synthesis supports.¹¹

Duplicating the reaction sequence presented in Schemes 2 and 3 resulted in isolation of enedicarboxylate **4** upon heating the toluene suspension containing the oxidized JandaJel derivative. Starting with 1.0 g of the thioanisole derived JandaJel **5** we obtained 30 mg, unoptimized, of enedicarboxylate **4**. The only difference with this work when considering the mechanics of running the reaction itself was that once the beads were given sufficient time to drop to the bottom of the flask, the solvent system containing unreacted starting material and by-product were removed by pipet.

Scheme 3.

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c$$

Figure 1. Commercially available thioanisole functionalized JandaJel 5.

While it is unfortunate that the by-product of the thermolysis reaction, phenylsulfenic acid, does rapidly dimerize to form a thiosulfinate, ¹² we were pleased to see that each malonate intermediate prepared in solution could be unambiguously identified using just the benzylic proton(s) by ¹H NMR thus adding the value of this synthetic sequence as a teaching exercise. Had the dimerization process be slower under these reaction conditions, a direct method toward the regeneration of organosulfide **1** both in solution and as a derivative bound to polymer-support would be possible. Efforts which address reuse of this polymer-supported material and application toward the grafting, assembly, and releasing of materials in multi-step processes are currently underway, and will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgments

D.C.F. would like to thank the helpful suggestions made during the review process of this Letter. DCF would like to recognize NIG-MS (NIH NIGMS 1R15GM085936), NSF (CHE 0514004), and the Camille and Henry Dreyfus Foundation (TH-06-008) for partial funding of this research. D.C.F would like to thank Professor Patrick Toy (University Hong Kong) for his generous donation of a thioanisole grafted JandaJel. B.P.F. would like to thank NSF for summer sponsorship over the 2007 and 2008 summer months. J.A.K. would like to acknowledge financial support through the Alabama Space Grant Scholars Program and the University of South Alabama (UCUR and University Honors Program).

References and notes

- 1. Groebel, W. Chem. Ber. 1959, 92, 2887.
- For preparation, see: (a) Abe, Y.; Nakabayashi, T.; Tsurugi, J. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1973, 46, 1898; (b) Büchel, K. H.; Conte, A. Chem. Ber. 1967, 100, 1248; (c) Pant, B. C.; Noltes, J. G. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett. 1971, 7, 63; (d) Harpp, D. N.; Aida, T.; DeCesare, I.; Tisnes, P.; Chan, T. H. Synth. Commun. 1984, 1037.
- For synthetic use, see: (a) Mukaiyama, T.; Kobayashi, S.; Kumamoto, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1970, 59, 5115; (b) Furukawa, M.; Suda, T.; Hayashi, S. Synthesis 1974, 282; (c) Mukaiyama, T.; Kobayashi, S.; Kamio, K.; Takei, H. Chem. Lett. 1972, 237; (d) Walker, K. A. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 51, 4475; (e) Torii, S.; Sayo, N.; Hideo, T. Chem. Lett. 1980, 695; (f) Huang, C.-H.; Liao, K.-S.; De. S. K.: Tsai, Y.-M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 3911.
- (a) Shcherbakova, I.; Pozharskii, A. F.. In Comprehensive Organic Functional Group Transformations II; Katritzky, A. R., Taylor, R. J. K., Eds.; Elsevier Ltd: Oxford, UK, 2005; Vol. 2, p 89; (b) Taylor, P. C.; Parrett, M. R. Annu. Rep. Prog. Chem. Sect. B: Org. Chem. 2007, 103, 47; (c) Skabara, P. J. Annu. Rep. Prog. Chem. Sect. A 2004, 100, 113.
- (a) Forbes, D. C.; Standen, M. C.; Lewis, D. L. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 2283; (b) Forbes, D. C.; Amin, S. R.; Bean, C. J.; Standen, M. C. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 8287; (c) Forbes, D. C.; Bettigeri, S. V.; Patrawala, S. A.; Pischek, S. C.; Standen, M. C. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 70; (d) Forbes, D. C.; Bettigeri, S. V.; Amin, S. R.; Bean, C. J.; Law, A. M.; Stockman, R. A. Synth Commun., in press.
- 6. Grossert, J. S.; Dubey, P. K. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1982, 1183.
- 7. Representative reaction procedure: To a dichloromethane (5 mL) solution consisting of thioanisole (0.12 g, 1.0 mmol) was added NBS (0.27 g, 1.5 mmol) portionwise at room temperature. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for a period of 24 h at room temperature at which time all volatiles were removed in vacuo. The resulting slurry was then recrystallized using CH₂Cl₂/hexanes (1:6) to afford 0.20 g (0.98 mmol) of phenyl succinimidyl sulfide (1) in 98% yield. Organosulfide 1 was then added neat to a solution of acetonitrile (5 mL) containing diethyl benzylmalonate (0.25 g, 1.0 mmol) and potassium carbonate (0.20 g, 1.5 mmol) having been allowed to react for a period of 30 min at room temperature. After addition of the sulfide (1.0 mmol), the reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for a period of 60 h at which time 30 mL of dichloromethane was added and subsequently washed with water. The organic phase was then dried using MgSO₄, concentrated in vacuo, and purified by column chromatography to afford 0.34 g (0.93 mmol) diethyl benzyl(phenylthio)malonate in 93% yield.
- 8. Representative reaction procedure: A dichloromethane (5 mL) solution consisting of organosulfide 2 (0.36 g, 1.0 mmol) was cooled to 0 °C at which time mCPBA (0.21 g, 1.2 mmol) was added portionwise. The reaction mixture was allowed to gradually warm to room temperature and stir for a period of 30 min. The reaction mixture was then neutralized using NaHCO₃ and washed with water. The organic phase was dried using MgSO₄, concentrated in vacuo, and purified by column chromatography to afford 0.37 g (0.98 mmol) of organosulfoxide 3 in 98% yield. Organosulfoxide 1 was then redissolved in toluene (5 mL) and externally warmed to reflux using a sand bath. The refluxing solution was monitored by NMR. After 12 h, NMR analysis revealed 44% conversion to the desired product. The analytical data of diethylbenzylidenemalonate obtained matched that of commercial material.
- 9. Kametani, T.; Yukawa, H.; Honda, T. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1988, 685.
- 10. Hoye, T. R.; Caruso, A. J.; Magee, A. S. J. Org. Chem. **1982**, 47, 4152.
- (a) Solinas, A.; Taddei, M. Synthesis 2007, 16, 2409; (b) Kan, J. W.; Toy, P. H. J. Sulfur Chem. 2005, 26, 509. and references cited therein.
- 12. Davis, F. A.; Billmers, R. L. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 2593.